Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/945,483	FERIA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anh-Vu H. Ly	2616	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC) personnel):		
(1) <u>Anh-Vu H. Ly</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Georgann S. Grunebach</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>04 April 2006</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)∏ applicant's repr	esentative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	•	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>N/A</u> .	•		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .		•	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was not reached	d. h)□ N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Shee</u>		agreed to if an agreement was	;
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendme	aminer agreed would render the clents that would render the claims	aims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INT requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	le last Office action ha R OF ONE MONTH C TERVIEW SUMMAR	as already been filed, APPLICANT R THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS Y FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATEF	IS
·			•
	•		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Exam	iner's signature, if required	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Previously assigned examiner, Kamran Emdadi, requested that additional drawings and explanations should be added to illustrate the claimed invention during the interview dated September 22, 2005 between examiner Kamran and Timothy Bauman. However, currently assigned examiner, Anh-Vu Ly, believes the claimed invention is clearly supported and described in the specifications. Therefore, additional drawings and amendment to the specification filed October 4, 2005 and December 27, 2005 will not be entered as agreed by applicant's representative.